

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/314,698	PERRIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(3) _____.

(2) Ingrie Beattie.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

58

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was discussed that there was an error in the examiner's amendment to claim 58. A supplemental examiner's amendment will be issued to correct the error in section (f) of the claim.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)